

ORIGINAL



0000135186

RECEIVED

2012 MAR 15 A 9:33

AZ CORP COMMISSION
DOCKET CONTROL

Jennifer Tanner
P.O.Box 2265 (Mailing address)
(82 Cypress Drive)
Sedona, Az. 86339

March 13, 2012

Arizona Corporation Commission
Docket Control Center
1200 West Washington Street
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

Arizona Corporation Commission

DOCKETED

MAR 15 2012

DOCKETED BY

Re: Docket # E-00000C-11-0328

Commissioners;

It's shameful I have to make 14 copies of this to possibly be read. But I am doing this because I feel so strongly how wrong it is to shove this dumb unhealthy meter down our throats.

Your staff is guilty of lying by omission. The proposed guidelines for so-called and misnamed "smart meters" claim to be the result of comments received from utility customers yet they are not. Your staff wrote:

"Based on the written comments submitted to the Generic Docket, Staff has determined that customers seem to have several concerns related to the installation of metering devices. In an effort to address these concerns, Staff has developed a list of proposed guidelines for the use of all meters by electric utilities and for any data obtained from their use."

Yet nowhere in those guidelines – *nowhere* – is there any mention of the health-related concerns (including spontaneous fire) that many ratepayers, including myself, sent in to the Commission. How dare you ignore us! How dare you pretend those comments simply did not

exist! We have taken the time to do your homework for you by sending you detailed studies and concrete evidence and you ignore us?! Shame, shame on all of you.

Then your staff have the audacity to write (and note that "Staff" and "Commission" are capitalized while "customers" is not; that speaks volumes):

"Comments received also mentioned the need for an opt-out program to allow customers to not have smart meters installed. Based on the comments and discussion received at the upcoming workshop meeting, Staff may develop an opt-out proposal for consideration by the Commission."

Staff "**may**" develop an opt-out proposal?! How magnanimous of Your Worships!

Being able to freely opt-out of your insane "smart" metering has been what everyone who is against these meters has wanted – *and made clear they wanted* – from the get-go. Indeed, the whole situation has been turned on its head. People who want these meters should be opting-in; we should not have to opt-out. The Federal law concerning these devices is also clear and has been made clear to the Commission from the start. Federal law says the meters have to be made available to "*those who request them*", not to everybody whether they want one or not.

Guess what? I have already opted out. These meters are not what APS has easement for at my house. The word, "meter", when APS and I reached an agreement for easement at our house, meant an analog meter. It did not mean a radio broadcasting station with metering capability. Meters are allowed at our house. Radio stations are not allowed.

If I have a boat and put wheels, a V8 motor, a steering wheel, lights, horn and turns signals on it and start driving it on the street, is it still a boat? No, it is now a car. And so it is with your so-called "smart meters". They are no longer meters. They are radio broadcasting stations with metering capability. Be clear about this: **APS does not**

have my permission, consent or authorization to install a radio station at my house, nor do you.

And do not hide behind the nonsensical baloney about how these meters will "save energy". If energy conservation was truly a concern of the Commission, then the Commission would not be granting sweetheart "bulk rates" to large industrial energy users.

Let's see: if I use a lot of power, my rate increases. If an industrial energy glutton uses a lot of power, their rate decreases with the more electricity they use. You have the audacity to guilt trip me for my use, let APS charge me a higher rate for my increased use, and then tell me a so-called "smart meter" will fix everything?! You are wrong, and you are hypocrites of the worst sort for giving away sweetheart deals to energy gluttons while telling me to conserve.

No one needs a so-called "smart meter" to know when they are using electricity. A "smart meter" will not save electricity. If "smart meters" were so fantastic at saving electricity (money) then people would pay to have one. You would not need to force them on us.

Your last workshop meeting was a sham. Will this one be any better? Based on the ridiculous "guidelines" your staff has provided I doubt it.

In your last meeting you gave the power companies and their paid corporate "scientist", Leeka Kheifets (who has been exposed as a fraud – see here: <http://www.microwavenews.com/junkscience.html> or do a search for *The Real Junk Science of EMFs*), as much time as they wanted for their propaganda, lies and power point presentations while mere mundanes, the small "c" customers, got three minutes each. Ours were the only independent voices there. You, with all your resources, provided no independent research. I am willing to bet that you still have not done any.

You are already off to a very bad start of this upcoming meeting. Your so-called "guidelines" are incomplete and entirely inadequate. And requiring us to mail in 14 hard-copies of our comments is a thinly disguised burden designed to limit freedom of speech. I have no problem saying it is utterly disgraceful on your part.

First of all, there are only five Commissioners, so by requiring 14 copies it is clear we are doing your copying work for you. You are already living at our expense through taxes, now we have to do your work for you?! Additionally, have you not heard of email? You, who lecture and guilt trip us about "energy efficiency", want something as archaic, costly and wasteful as 14 hard copies?! Again, total hypocrisy on your part.

People I know are resending much of the damning evidence against these meters that you have previously ignored. Do your jobs and pay attention to it this time. Represent the people, not the power companies who want these meters in order to screw us with Time Of Use metering.

Here is something not to be missed, but evidently you did because I have sent this to you before. Educate yourselves this time. It will take you all of five minutes. It is a YouTube of someone with an electromagnetic frequency meter taking readings at a cell tower and then at "smart meter" installations. The "smart meters" are giving off up to *100 times* more radio frequency than the cell tower. The cell tower is cordoned off and has warning signs. The "smart meters" are not cordoned off nor do they have warning signs. After watching this, only fools or shills of the power companies would think "smart meters" are acceptable. Watch and learn:

<http://stopsmartmeters.org/2011/08/22/smart-meters-more-radiation-than-a-cell-tower/> or do a search for "*Smart Meters: More Radiation Than a Cell Tower*".

At your last meeting you were urged to get your own analyzing meters and do your own research. Have you? I'll bet you have not otherwise you would not even consider inflicting these microwave radio broadcasting stations on Arizona.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Tanner

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Jennifer Tanner", with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.